## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/735,511	ROTHSCHILD ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Paul A. D'Agostino	3714		

		Tadiri. Brigodino	07 14				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address				
THE REF	PLY FILED <u>08 September 2008</u> FAILS TO PLACE THI	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION I	FOR ALLOWANCE.				
app app for	reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on elication, applicant must timely file one of the following elication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Clods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidav eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	it, or other evidence, which places th with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Reques	ne			
a) 🔲	a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) 🔀	The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.				
_	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	f).					
have been under 37 0 set forth in may reduc	s of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exCFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later e any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropriate extension feinally set in the final Office action; or (2) a	e as			
	Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two months of the date o	f			
filin	g the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exterice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since				
(a)	e proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, l They raise new issues that would require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NO					
	They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	•	ducing or simplifying the issues for				
(d)	They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		ected claims.				
4. 🔲 Th	e amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12		mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).				
5. 🔲 Ap	plicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)	:					
_ nor	wly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all-allowable claim(s).		-	е			
hov The Cla Cla Cla	purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) with the new or amended claims would be rejected is proven status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: im(s) allowed: im(s) objected to: im(s) rejected: im(s) withdrawn from consideration:		ll be entered and an explanation of				
	IT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🔲 The	affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu ause applicant failed to provide a showing of good and not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).			d			
ente sho	e affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing ered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o wing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented.  S	al and/or appellant fails to provide a ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).				
	ne affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation IT FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attached.				
<u>S</u>	ne request for reconsideration has been considered bu the Continuation Sheet.		n condition for allowance because:				
	ote the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). ther:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)					
	/I Hotaling II/ sory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3714						

**Application No. 10/735,511** 

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The hands depicted in Olsen indicate bonus game eligibility (Col. 6 Lines 33-63) and once a player is eligible it is reasonable to assume there is a probability of winning the bonus game. Examiner disagrees with Applicant's arguments that these hands eligibility and/or certainty but is all cases not a probability. Further, Applicant argues that the hands of Olsen do not terminate. Examiner disagrees. If the player fails to achieve "catching the potato" the round terminates and so do the hands, without the award of a bonus. Applicant asserts but does not claim that the termination occurs during play of a single bonus game in the bonus round and the indicator is terminated before the ending of said single game. Thus, the rejection of the claims is maintained.